

Quantitative study of mix-mode pedagogical designs in advancing EFL comprehension

Natalia Nikolaevna Gavrilenko,
Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences (DSc), Professor,
Peoples' Friendship University of Russia

Annotation. *This article examines the impact of mix-mode strategies on students' English as a Foreign Language (EFL) comprehension. It reviews existing literature on blended and hybrid instructional models, highlighting how technological integration and face-to-face instruction can collectively improve language skills. The paper addresses the theoretical underpinnings of mix-mode strategies, including their benefits and potential challenges, with a particular emphasis on reading and listening comprehension. Drawing upon studies conducted in diverse educational contexts, the article provides insights into effective pedagogical designs, assessment practices, and the role of educators in facilitating student-centered learning environments. The findings suggest that properly structured mix-mode strategies can enhance student engagement, boost motivation, and foster deeper understanding of foreign language content.*

Keywords: *Mix-mode strategies, blended learning, EFL comprehension, technology integration, student-centered learning, pedagogical design*

1. Introduction

Globalization has amplified the need for efficient foreign language acquisition, prompting educators to explore innovative pedagogical frameworks. Among these frameworks, mix-mode strategies—also referred to as blended or hybrid learning—are gaining momentum. Mix-mode teaching integrates traditional face-to-face instruction with technology-mediated practices, such as online modules, digital resources, and interactive platforms (Graham, 2013). In English as a Foreign Language (EFL) contexts, these strategies can offer learners enhanced access to authentic materials, increased autonomy, and greater opportunities for communicative practice (Cleveland-Innes & Wilton, 2018).

Research on mix-mode strategies often highlights their capacity to accommodate diverse learning preferences. Students can engage with digital exercises at their own pace, while still benefiting from real-time interactions with teachers and peers (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Nevertheless, designing and implementing mix-mode models require careful planning to ensure synergy between online and offline components. If well-orchestrated, these approaches can strengthen EFL comprehension by supporting vocabulary acquisition, reading fluency, listening skills, and cultural understanding (Goralski, 2021).

This article provides a comprehensive review of the impact of mix-mode strategies on students' EFL comprehension, focusing on theoretical perspectives, benefits, challenges, and best practices. It consolidates findings from numerous empirical investigations conducted across various educational contexts. Additionally, the article highlights the significance of scaffolding, appropriate assessment techniques, and continued teacher support in maximizing the efficacy of blended learning environments.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Defining Mix-Mode Strategies

Mix-mode strategies represent a continuum of instructional models that combine face-to-face and online learning components (Allen & Seaman, 2016). In EFL instruction, these approaches can include virtual synchronous sessions for spoken interaction, asynchronous forum discussions for written communication, and face-to-face sessions for clarifying concepts and solidifying skills. According to Graham (2013), mix-mode learning should maintain a purposeful integration of digital and classroom experiences to create a cohesive learning environment rather than two parallel tracks.

2.2 Theoretical Foundations

The theoretical underpinnings of mix-mode EFL instruction draw from constructivist and socio-constructivist views of learning (Vygotsky, 1978). Learners actively construct their knowledge through social interaction and personal reflection. When technology serves as a medium for collaboration—via discussion boards or peer review platforms—students can engage in meaning-making processes, exchanging ideas beyond the constraints of traditional classroom time (Hrastinski, 2009). Furthermore, mix-mode strategies align with the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, which underscores the importance of cognitive, social, and teaching presences in fostering deep learning in online and blended formats (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008).

2.3 Empirical Evidence in EFL Contexts

2.3.1 Reading Comprehension

Numerous studies have demonstrated how blend-mode strategies can significantly improve reading comprehension among EFL students (Goralski, 2021). Online reading platforms allow learners to access extensive reading materials enriched with multimedia glossaries, hyperlinks, and audiovisual aids. The immediate feedback and interactive glosses help students grasp new vocabulary and textual structures more effectively than in traditional text-only approaches (Chun, 2012).

Moreover, asynchronous discussion boards facilitate collaborative interpretation of texts. Students can pose questions, clarify misunderstandings, and receive immediate peer or instructor feedback (Sun & Chang, 2012). The combination of self-paced reading with teacher-directed interventions fosters deeper engagement with complex readings (Zhang, 2018).

2.3.2 Listening Comprehension

Listening comprehension often poses a significant challenge in EFL contexts due to rapid speech rates, unfamiliar accents, and limited classroom exposure to authentic spoken English. Mix-mode strategies address these challenges by providing online access to diverse audio and video materials. Learners can practice self-regulated listening by pausing, replaying, or slowing down content as needed (Bloom & Tang, 2019).

Some studies emphasize the role of interactive listening tasks—such as transcribing short segments, completing comprehension quizzes, and participating in virtual group discussions (Li, Snow, & White, 2019). These activities not only enhance comprehension but also improve students' note-taking abilities, digital literacy, and confidence in tackling authentic listening scenarios (Cleveland-Innes & Wilton, 2018).

2.3.3 Integrated Language Skills

Mix-mode EFL programs typically encourage the integration of reading, listening, speaking, and writing skills. For instance, students may read an article on a digital platform, participate in an online forum to discuss the article, and later present their findings in the classroom. This cyclical approach allows for repeated exposure to vocabulary and ideas across multiple modalities, reinforcing comprehension and retention (Goralski, 2021).

Additionally, some researchers argue that mix-mode strategies help learners develop 21st-century skills—such as critical thinking, digital communication, and problem-solving—which can synergistically support language learning (Trilling & Fadel, 2009).

3. Benefits of Mix-Mode Strategies for EFL Comprehension

3.1 Enhanced Access to Authentic Materials

One major advantage of mix-mode instruction is the increased availability of authentic materials—such as podcasts, videos, news articles, and academic journals (Dudeny & Hockly, 2016). Students can explore content that aligns with their interests or professional goals, thereby boosting intrinsic motivation. Authentic materials also expose learners to a variety of language registers, colloquialisms, and cultural references that might be missing in textbook-based lessons (Herrington, Reeves, & Oliver, 2010).

3.2 Individualized Pace and Learning Styles

Traditional classroom settings often adhere to a uniform pace dictated by the curriculum. In a mix-mode environment, however, learners can utilize digital modules at their own speed—reviewing challenging content or accelerating through familiar topics. This individualized pacing acknowledges the heterogeneity of language proficiency, motivation, and learning styles (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). For visual or kinesthetic learners, multimedia elements—such as infographics, animations, or interactive quizzes—can enhance comprehension more effectively than static lectures (Allen & Seaman, 2016).

3.3 Promoting Learner Autonomy

Autonomy in language learning is fostered when learners take responsibility for setting goals, selecting resources, and self-assessing their progress (Benson, 2013). Mix-mode strategies often incorporate e-portfolios, blogging assignments, or reflection journals, where students document their learning journeys. These tools empower students to become active agents in shaping their EFL experiences. Autonomy can, in turn, bolster self-efficacy and contribute to more robust language gains (Goralski, 2021).

3.4 Immediate Feedback and Self-Regulation

Digital tools embedded in mix-mode curricula—such as automated quizzes, polls, or short-answer tasks—can deliver immediate feedback, highlighting gaps in understanding. Research indicates that timely feedback supports metacognitive development, enabling learners to revise their strategies before misconceptions become entrenched (Shute, 2008). Additionally, self-regulated learning behaviors—such as goal setting, self-monitoring, and resource management—are more feasible in an environment that provides on-demand feedback (Pintrich, 2004).

4. Potential Challenges and Considerations

4.1 Technological Barriers

Despite the promising advantages, technological barriers can hamper the implementation of mix-mode strategies. Not all students have reliable internet access or compatible devices, resulting in unequal learning opportunities (Bawa, 2016). Similarly, older hardware or outdated software can limit the rich multimedia functionalities integral to blended EFL courses. Addressing these disparities requires institutional support, possibly through device lending programs or campus-based digital resource centers (Cleveland-Innes & Wilton, 2018).

4.2 Teacher Training and Pedagogical Skills

Effective mix-mode instruction hinges on teachers' ability to design and facilitate blended experiences. Educators must be adept at curating digital materials, orchestrating online discussions, and providing meaningful feedback (Graham, 2013). However, not all teachers are proficient in using educational technology or managing the complexities of synchronous and asynchronous interactions. Professional development programs that focus on instructional design, technology integration, and digital classroom management are essential for sustaining high-quality EFL instruction (Kessler, 2018).

4.3 Assessment Complexities

Assessing EFL comprehension in a mix-mode environment can be more complex than in traditional settings. Online quizzes and tasks can measure basic recall, but evaluating deeper comprehension—such as inferential reading or critical listening—may necessitate more nuanced methods (Chetwynd & Dobbyn, 2011). Additionally, academic integrity concerns arise in unsupervised online assessments. Institutions must invest in proctoring solutions or alternative assessment forms—like project-based work or reflective journals—to ensure validity and reliability.

4.4 Balancing Online and Offline Components

Designing a coherent curriculum that effectively balances online and offline components remains a challenge for many institutions (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Over-reliance on digital tasks can lead to passive consumption rather than interactive learning. Conversely, insufficient integration of online resources can reduce the potential benefits of blended approaches. The key lies in

harmonizing synchronous face-to-face sessions with asynchronous digital activities so that each modality complements the other in fostering deeper comprehension (Hrastinski, 2009).

5. Effective Pedagogical Design for Mix-Mode EFL Comprehension

5.1 Scaffolding

Scaffolding remains crucial in bridging the gap between students' current capabilities and desired learning outcomes (Vygotsky, 1978). In a blended environment, scaffolding can manifest through structured pre-reading activities (e.g., vocabulary previews or thematic prompts), guided questions during online reading exercises, and follow-up face-to-face discussions that resolve ambiguities. Teachers might also provide annotated audiovisual materials for listening tasks, segmenting the content into manageable chunks accompanied by comprehension checks.

5.2 Collaborative Learning

Group projects and peer-review activities can stimulate cooperative learning in both online and offline spaces. As an example, students may form virtual teams to create a multimedia presentation on a specific cultural topic, combining research, image sourcing, and voice recordings. In a subsequent face-to-face session, they present their findings and debate any controversial points. Such collaborative tasks encourage students to articulate their understanding, negotiate meaning, and deepen comprehension of EFL materials (Hrastinski, 2009).

5.3 Role of the Teacher as Facilitator

In mix-mode teaching, the teacher's role transcends content delivery. Educators act as facilitators who orchestrate discussions, provide individualized feedback, and monitor engagement. In online forums, teachers can probe deeper comprehension by asking follow-up questions and challenging students to justify their interpretations (Anderson, 2008). During face-to-face sessions, they can clarify misconceptions identified in online tasks. This dynamic, iterative cycle helps maintain continuity across different modes of instruction (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008).

5.4 Formative Assessment

Formative assessment techniques—such as short quizzes, reflective journals, and real-time polls—are vital in evaluating ongoing comprehension (Shute, 2008). Teachers can leverage analytics from learning management systems (LMSs) to monitor student performance. For instance, an LMS can track how many times a student replays an audio file or how long they spend on a reading passage. These indicators offer insights into comprehension difficulties, allowing teachers to intervene promptly (Chetwynd & Dobbyn, 2011).

6. Case Studies and Practical Implications

6.1 University-Level Implementation

In a university-level EFL program in China, researchers integrated online reading modules with weekly classroom debates. Students had a week to read articles and watch videos on a given topic, post questions in a forum, and then present their arguments in person. The post-study survey indicated higher levels of reading engagement and deeper conceptual understanding compared to classes without online preparation (Zhang, 2018).

6.2 Secondary Education Programs

At the secondary school level, a pilot project in Brazil combined short, interactive video lessons with weekly face-to-face workshops focusing on critical thinking and oral language development (Santos & Araujo, 2020). The project reported improved listening comprehension scores, with students expressing appreciation for the ability to pause and replay videos. Teachers noted that workshop discussions became more substantive, as learners arrived better prepared to articulate their viewpoints.

6.3 Workplace Language Training

In corporate settings, mix-mode strategies can optimize time efficiency and target specific professional needs (AbuSa'aleek, 2015). Employees can complete grammar or vocabulary modules

online, then practice scenario-based role-plays during on-site workshops. Employers frequently observe enhanced speaking confidence and comprehension related to industry-specific terminology.

7. Discussion

The literature underscores the multifaceted benefits of mix-mode strategies for EFL comprehension, including enhanced access to authentic materials, learner autonomy, and a broader repertoire of assessment methods (Goralski, 2021). However, successful implementation hinges on addressing technological barriers, investing in teacher training, and striking a balance between digital and face-to-face modalities (Kessler, 2018).

Moreover, further research is needed to explore how cultural dimensions influence student perceptions of blended learning. For instance, learners accustomed to teacher-centered education may struggle with the autonomy demanded by online tasks (Cleveland-Innes & Wilton, 2018). Longitudinal studies that track changes in learner motivation, comprehension strategies, and outcomes over time could illuminate how to tailor mix-mode programs to different educational contexts.

8. Conclusion

Mix-mode strategies are reshaping EFL instruction by offering flexible, context-rich experiences that can significantly bolster students' language comprehension. Through the integration of online tasks, authentic materials, and face-to-face support, EFL learners have more opportunities to engage deeply with reading passages, listening activities, and collaborative discussions. While the potential of blended environments is considerable, it is realized only when educators thoughtfully design cohesive curricula, attend to technological equity, and foster an active learning culture.

Future directions in this domain could involve interdisciplinary collaboration with experts in educational technology, psychology, and sociolinguistics to refine existing models and create adaptive systems catering to diverse learners. As global communication continues to expand, the strategic deployment of mix-mode strategies can contribute to the broader goal of equipping learners with robust linguistic competencies and intercultural awareness.

References

1. AbuSa'aleek, A. O. (2015). Students' perceptions of English language learning in the Facebook context. *Teaching English with Technology*, 15(4), 60–75.
2. Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2016). *Online report card: Tracking online education in the United States*. Babson Survey Research Group.
3. Anderson, T. (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning*. Edmonton: AU Press.
4. Bawa, P. (2016). Retention in online courses: Exploring issues and solutions – A literature review. *SAGE Open*, 6(1), 1–11.
5. Benson, P. (2013). *Teaching and researching autonomy* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.
6. Bloom, M., & Tang, M. (2019). Podcast-based instruction and EFL listening comprehension. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 32(5-6), 531–551.
7. Chetwynd, F., & Dobbyn, C. (2011). Assessment, feedback and marking guides in distance education. *Open Learning*, 26(1), 67–78.
8. Chun, D. (2012). Computer-assisted language learning for teaching reading. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics* (pp. 850–858). Wiley-Blackwell.
9. Cleveland-Innes, M., & Wilton, D. (2018). *Guide to blended learning*. Burnaby: Commonwealth of Learning.
10. Dudeney, G., & Hockly, N. (2016). *ICT in ELT: How to create a blended learning environment*. London: Pearson.
11. Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

12. Goralski, E. (2021). Blended learning and EFL comprehension: A meta-analysis. *ELT Research Journal*, 14(2), 103–127.
13. Graham, C. R. (2013). Emerging practice and research in blended learning. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), *Handbook of distance education* (pp. 333–350). Routledge.
14. Herrington, J., Reeves, T. C., & Oliver, R. (2010). Authentic learning environments. In J. M. Spector et al. (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (4th ed., pp. 401–412). Springer.
15. Hrastinski, S. (2009). A theory of online learning as online participation. *Computers & Education*, 52(1), 78–82.
16. Kamariddinova, M. E. (2024). DEVELOPING COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE IN FOREIGN LANGUAGE EDUCATION. *Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 2(4), 66-70.
17. Moydinova, E. (2023). RAQAMLI TA'LIM MUHITIDA VEB RESURSLARNING DIDAKTIK XUSUSIYATLARI. Interpretation and researches, 1(22).
18. Satibaldiyev, E. (2024). COGNITIVE VIEW OF BILINGUALISM AND LANGUAGE DOMINANCE IN THE TRANSLATION. *Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education*, 2(1), 5-8.
19. Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153–189.
20. Sun, Y. C., & Chang, Y. J. (2012). Blogging to learn: Becoming EFL academic writers through collaborative dialogues. *Language Learning & Technology*, 16(1), 43–61.
21. Temirova, N. A. (2023). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACHES TO TEACHING INTERNET NEOLOGISMS: A REVIEW OF SCIENTIFIC POINTS OF VIEW. In *БКК 81.2 я43 Методика преподавания иностранных языков и РКИ: традиции и инновации: сборник научных трудов VIII Международной научно-методической онлайн-конференции, посвященной Году педагога и наставника в России и Году русского языка в странах СНГ (11 апреля 2023 г.)—Курск: Изд-во КГМУ, 2023.—521 с. (Vol. 193, p. 38).*
22. Temirova, N. A. (2023). TEACHING NEOLOGISMS TO ADVANCED LEARNERS THROUGH GROUPING BY THE INTRALINGUISTIC FACTORS. In *БКК 81.2 я43 Методика преподавания иностранных языков и РКИ: традиции и инновации: сборник научных трудов VIII Международной научно-методической онлайн-конференции, посвященной Году педагога и наставника в России и Году русского языка в странах СНГ (11 апреля 2023 г.)—Курск: Изд-во КГМУ, 2023.—521 с. (p. 43).*
23. Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
24. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
25. Zhang, L. (2018). Investigating blended learning in EFL reading: A comparative study. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 9(5), 957–965.